

**LARRY H. LUM, ESQ.**  
Nevada Bar No. 14914

**KAREN L. BASHOR, ESQ.**  
Nevada Bar No. 11913

**JUSTIN A. SHIROFF, ESQ.**  
Nevada Bar No. 12869

**WILSON, ELSE, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN  
& DICKER LLP**

6689 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 200  
Las Vegas, NV 89119  
(702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401

[larry.lum@wilsonelser.com](mailto:larry.lum@wilsonelser.com)  
[karen.bashor@wilsonelser.com](mailto:karen.bashor@wilsonelser.com)  
[justin.shiroff@wilsonelser.com](mailto:justin.shiroff@wilsonelser.com)

*Attorneys for Defendant Nevada Property 1 LLC  
d/b/a The Cosmopolitan Hotel*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JACOB NADAV;  
Plaintiff.

vs.  
NEVADA PROPERTY 1 LLC, d/b/a  
COSMOPOLITAN HOTEL; a domestic limited  
liability company; DOE EMPLOYEES OF THE  
COSMOPOLITAN HOTEL; DOES 1-25,  
inclusive; ROE CORPORATION 1-25, inclusive.

| CASE NO: 2:23-cv-01116-RFB-EJY

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES  
(SECOND REQUEST)**

### Defendants.

Defendant NEVADA PROPERTY 1 LLC d/b/a THE COSMOPOLITAN HOTEL, by and through their attorneys of record, WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP, and JACOB NADAV, by and their his attorneys of record, HICKS & BRASIER, PLLC, hereby respectfully request and stipulate to a 30 day extension of all remaining deadlines to FRCP 26, and propose a revised discovery scheduling order, as described below:

111

111

1       **I. Summary of Discovery Completed**

2                  The Rule 26(f) conference was held on August 10, 2023. Following the Rule 26(f)  
3 conference, the proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order was filed on August 23, 2023 and  
4 approved by this Court on the same day. Plaintiff served initial disclosures on August 21, 2023,  
5 and Defendant served initial disclosures on August 21, 2023. Plaintiff served his first and second  
6 supplemental disclosures on September 29, 2023 and November 3, 2023, respectively. Defendant  
7 served its first supplemental disclosure on October 5, 2023.

8                  On August 31, 2023, the parties submitted a stipulation and order to protect confidential  
9 materials and information. The purpose of the stipulation and order was to help facilitate the  
10 exchange of materials between the parties. On September 1, 2023, the Court denied the parties'  
11 stipulation and order, without prejudice. On October 3, 2023, the parties resubmitted a revised  
12 stipulation and order to protect confidential materials and information. On October 4, 2023, the  
13 Court approved the parties' revised stipulation and order.

14                 On October 6, 2023, Defendant propounded requests for production of documents, requests  
15 for admission, and interrogatories upon Plaintiff. Plaintiff timely served his written responses to  
16 the discovery requests on November, 2023. On November 8, 2023, Plaintiff propounded requests  
17 for production of documents, requests for admissions and interrogatories on Defendant.

18                 On November 6, 2023, Plaintiff first contacted Defendant to request that the parties  
19 coordinate a site inspection of the location where Plaintiff's counsel. The parties are continuing to  
20 work together in order to coordinate the proposed site inspection so that it may take place.  
21 Additionally, the proposed site inspection is of particular importance to the parties, and the  
22 surveillance footage of the underlying incident did not provide the parties with a clear view of  
23 Plaintiff's fall, or any conditions that may have been present when it occurred.

24                 On November 17, 2023 the parties reached their first agreement to continue the discovery  
25 deadlines in order to accommodate the site inspection. On December 11, 2023, the parties agreed to  
26 extend the due dates for Defendant's responses to written discovery. Plaintiff agreed to extension,  
27 with the caveat of pushing back the site inspection to allow enough time for their expert to have all  
28 the relevant responses before the inspection.

1           **II. Discovery Remaining**

2       The parties anticipate completing the following discovery:

3           1. Production of additional documents being obtained from Plaintiff's providers;

4           2. Site inspection of the subject premises;

5           3. Deposition of Defendant's FRCP 30(b)(6) designees;

6           4. Deposition of Plaintiff;

7           5. Depositions of fact witnesses;

8           6. Third party discovery, including any additional subpoenas to be issued;

9           7. Expert report disclosures – initial and rebuttal; and

10          8. Depositions of Experts.

11       **III. Why the Remaining Discovery Could not be Completed**

12       The parties have been actively working together to engage in discovery and move this matter forward. The parties also identified early on that the issues in dispute for this matter were of an intricate nature, and would require a considerable amount of discovery to prepare for initial expert disclosures.

16       Despite the work described above, neither party yet has the factual information needed to prepare for expert witness disclosures. Defendant is working diligently to respond to Plaintiff's written discovery. On September 9, 2023, MGM Resorts International suffered a cyberattack, and in response shut down all systems, including outlook. As a result of the shutdown, from September 9, 2023, until October 4, 2023, counsel was unable to send or receive emails from its client, an MGM property; phone lines were also down, and communication by phone was also not feasible. Until October 4, 2023, it was unknown how long communications would be affected, which resulted in countless extensions to discovery being sent out on any MGM matter. This created a **major** backlog in discovery that was coming due prior to the attack, due during the shutdown, or served during the shutdown and still now coming due for some matters, particularly for some dates of loss. However, the imminent holiday season, with its related staffing issues, has exacerbated the unique challenges Defendant is already facing with respect to recovering data relevant to the alleged date of loss in this case, which was impacted by the cyberattack backlog.

1       In addition, as set forth above, the site inspection remains important to the parties. Because  
2 the surveillance footage of the underlying incident did not provide the parties with a clear view of  
3 Plaintiff's fall, or any conditions that may have been present when it occurred. As a result, the parties  
4 need an opportunity to physically inspect the incident location, in order to better understand the  
5 underlying facts that are the subject of Plaintiff's Complaint, and doing so requires completed  
6 discovery responses from Defendant. An additional 30-day extension will provide sufficient time  
7 complete a site inspection and to gather the necessary factual information identified above to prepare  
8 for initial expert disclosures.

9  
10      *(Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank)*  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1           **IV. Proposed Schedule for Completing All Remaining Discovery**

2           Pursuant to LR 26-4, the parties propose to extend the current deadlines and jointly submit  
 3           the following to the Court:

	<b>Current Deadline</b>	<b>Proposed Deadline</b>
Amending Pleadings and Adding Parties	February 12, 2024	<b>March 13, 2024</b>
Initial Expert Disclosures & Interim Status Report	March 13, 2024	<b>April 12, 2024</b>
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	April 12, 2024	<b>May 13, 2024</b>
Discovery Closes	May 13, 2024	<b>June 11, 2024</b>
Dispositive Motions	June 11, 2024	<b>July 12, 2024</b>
Pre-Trial Order, if no Dispositive Motions	July 11, 2024	<b>July 12, 2024</b>

12           Dated: December 20th, 2023

Dated: December 20th, 2023

13           **WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP**

**HICKS & BRASIER, PLLC**

15           */s/ Karen Bashor*

Larry H. Lum, Esq.  
 Nevada Bar No. 14914  
 Karen L. Bashor, Esq.  
 Nevada Bar No. 11913  
 Justin A. Shiroff, Esq.  
 Nevada Bar No. 12869  
*Attorneys for Defendant Nevada Property I LLC  
 d/b/a The Cosmopolitan Hotel*

*/s/ Alison M. Brasier*

Alison M. Brasier, Esq.  
 Nevada Bar No. 10522  
 Tex J. Boonjue, Esq.  
 Nevada Bar No. 15016  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

20           **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

21           **ORDER**

22             
 23           UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

24           DATED: December 20, 2023